

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JACQUELINE DAVILA,

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01816-HBK

ORDER GRANTING AWARD AND
PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS FEES UNDER
THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT¹

(Doc. No. 21)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for attorney fees filed on June 13, 2023. (Doc. No. 21). Plaintiff requests an award attorney's fees and expenses to Plaintiff's attorney, Kelsey M. Brown of Mackenzie Legal, PLLC, in the amount of \$5,357.59 pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (*Id.*).

On March 15, 2023, this Court granted the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and remanded the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. (Doc. No. 19). Judgment was entered the same day. (Doc. No. 20). Plaintiff now requests an award of fees as the prevailing party. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a) & (d)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1920; *cf.* *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S.

¹ Both parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1). (Doc. No.15).

292, 300-02 (1993) (concluding that a party who wins a sentence-four remand order under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) is a prevailing party). The Commissioner did not respond to Plaintiff's request, and the deadline for doing so has passed.

The EAJA provides for an award of attorney fees to private litigants who both prevail in civil actions (other than tort) against the United States and timely file a petition for fees. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Under the Act, a court shall award attorney fees to the prevailing party unless it finds the government's position was "substantially justified or that special circumstances make such an award unjust." *Id.* Here, the government did not show its position was substantially justified and the Court finds there are not special circumstances that would make an award unjust.

Plaintiff requests an award of \$5,357.59 in EAJA fees for 22.95 hours of attorney time. (Doc. No. 21-1). The Court finds an award of \$5,357.59 is appropriate. EAJA fees, expenses, and costs are subject to any offsets allowed under the Treasury Offset Program ("TOP"), as discussed in *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 532 U.S. 1192 (2010). If the Commissioner determines upon effectuation of this Order that Plaintiff's EAJA fees are not subject to any offset allowed under the TOP, the fees shall be delivered or otherwise transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

1. Plaintiff's motion for attorney fees and expenses (Doc. No. 21) is **GRANTED**.

2. The Commissioner is directed to pay to Plaintiff as the prevailing party EAJA fees in the amount of \$5,357.59 in attorney fees. Unless the Department of Treasury determines that Plaintiff owes a federal debt, the government shall make payment of the fees to Plaintiff's counsel, Kelsey M. Brown of Mackenzie Legal, PLLC, in accordance with Plaintiff's assignment of fees.

Dated: June 28, 2023


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE